

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,889	HOFMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J. Feely	1712	

All Participants:

(1) Michael J. Feely.

(2) John E. Mrozinski, Jr. (Reg. No. 46,179).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Obviousness-type double patenting

Claims discussed:

12-23,25-31

Prior art documents discussed:

US Pat Nos. 6,780,813 and 6,835,687


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

After further consideration of the instant claims and the commonly owned art, it was decided that additional ODP rejections would be required with respect to US Pat. Nos. 6,780,813 and 6,835,687. A call was placed to Mr. Mrozinski to see if he would be willing to provide terminal disclaimers for these US Patents. Mr. Mrozinski was willing to file these additional terminal disclaimers via fax, alleviating any ODP matters.